

Allan Taing, Ph.D.

Research Analyst California State University, Fullerton

Brandon Aragon

Research Technician California State University, San Bernardino

Outline

- CSUSB at a Glance
- Coyote First STEP
 - Program overview
 - Assessment plan
- College-level math analysis
 - Overview of multilevel modeling
 - Study design, research questions
 - Findings
- Discussion



Serving the Inland Empire



	San Bernardino County	Riverside County	California
Population	2,088,371	2,292,507	38,332,521
Percent of Women	50.2%	50.2%	50.3%
Median Family Income	\$54,750	\$57,096	\$61,400
Per Capita Income	\$21,636	\$23,863	\$29,551
Percent Below Poverty	17.6%	15.6%	15.3%
High School Graduate, Age 25+	78.0%	79.2%	81.0%
Bachelor's or Higher Degree	18.6%	20.5%	30.5%
Percent Hispanic/Latino	51.1%	46.9%	38.4%
Percent Non-English at Home	41.0%	39.8%	43.5%

Data source: http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/06000.html http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/ca-map-counties.htm

Fall 2016 Freshmen



- First-Time Full-Time Freshmen: 2,791
- Total Enrollment: 20,767

CSU Early Start Mandate

Executive Order No. 1048

		ANIA STATA
	THE CA	LIEOPNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
		OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR
		. 1857 ·
BAKERSFIELD	June 11, 2010	
CHANNEL ISLANDS		
CHICO	MEMORA	ANDUM
DOMINGUEZ HILLS	TO:	CSU Presidents
EAST BAY	FROM	Charles B. Read A Lamb B. Start
FRESNO	rkom.	Chancellor
FULLERTON	SUBJECT:	The Early Start Program - Executive Order No. 1048
HUMBOLDT		
LONG BEACH	Attached is a Early Start Pr	copy of Executive Order No.1048 on the establishment of the ogram as mandated by the California State University Board of
LOS ANGELES	Trustees at its	May 2010 meeting.
MARITIME ACADEMY	The new exec changes in po	utive order is designed to facilitate a student's graduation through licies on fulfilling entry-level proficiencies in mathematics and
MONTEREY BAY	English.	
NORTHRIDGE	In accordance	with policy of the California State University, the campus
POMONA	president has applicable and	the responsibility for implementing executive orders where I for maintaining the campus repository and index for all
SACRAMENTO	executive orde	ers.
SAN BERNARDINO	If you have qu Executive Vic	nestions regarding this executive order, please call Dr. Jeri Echeverria, the Chancellor and Chief Academic Officer at (562) 951-4710 or
SAN DIEGO	Mr. Allison Jo (562) 951-474	ones, Assistant Vice Chancellor, Student Academic Support, at 14.
SAN FRANCISCO	(,	
SAN JOSÉ	CBR/nlp	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	Attachment	
SAN MARCOS	c: Executiv	e Staff, Office of the Chancellor
SONOMA	Provosts/ Vice Pres	Vice Presidents, Academic Affairs sidents, Student Affairs
STANISLAUS		

CSUSB's Math Remediation Trend



Coyote First STEP



FREE for all 1,517 students



Mandatory (Fully-Scaled)



4-Week CFS Course Sequencing



Summer Course Outcomes

CFS	Count	Pass Did Not %		% Pass	
Course			Pass		
ESM 75A	68	61	7	90%	
ESM 75B	375	343	32	91%	
ESM 81	1217	1181	36	97%	
ESM 91	1120	999	121	89%	
Total	2780	2584	196	93%	

Campus Connectedness



Math Self-Efficacy



IR's Comprehensive Evaluation Plan



Visit the CSUSB IR website for updated CFS results: https://www.csusb.edu/institutional-research

Distal Math Outcomes



VS.

VS.

Math 110 Pass Rates



Math 110 Pass Rates by Section



Sections by CFS Enrollment %



Section Number

Math 110 Variability



Math 110 Variability by Section



Multilevel Modeling

- Also known as hierarchical linear models (HLM), mixed models, and random effects models
- These statistical models are used when data are <u>nested</u>
 - Nested data exist when individuals are grouped in some way, usually naturally rather than experimentally
 - Examples: Students within sections, students within academic units, students within universities

Nested Data

- Multilevel models are useful when data are nested to address:
 - Violation of independence assumption: Nested data violates this assumption of parametric linear statistical models
 - Unit of analysis problem: Hierarchical data structures have more than one unit of analysis
 - Aggregation bias: Incorrect inferences about individuals from group data
- Multilevel models take care of these issues

Study Overview

- Purpose: To examine the long-term effectiveness of Coyote First STEP by studying the relationship between pre-summer remediation status and college-level math outcomes
- *Subjects*: Fall 2015 FTF who attempted Math 110 in their first quarter at CSUSB
- Statistical Software: Mplus

Research Questions

- 1. Did Math 110 pass rates significantly differ between CFS and non-CFS students?
 - Do student background characteristics explain differences in pass rates?
 - Do section characteristics explain the differences in pass rates?
- 2. Did Math 110 pass rates significantly differ for CFS students across sections?

Table 1

Descriptive Statistics of Study Variables

Variable	Mean	Min	Max	SD
Outcome Variable				
Passed Math 110 in Fall 2015	.76			
Student-Level Variables (N = 1037)				
Pre-summer math remediation status				
No Remediation (reference, $n = 608$)	.41			
1 Quarter Remediation Need $(n = 242)$.24			
2 Quarter Remediation Need $(n = 366)$.35			
			(0	ontinue

Table 1 (continued)

Descriptive Statistics of Study Variables

Variable	Mean	Min	Max	SD
Student-Level Variables (cont.)				
Academic/demographic background co	ovariates			
High school GPA (weighted)	3.20	2.21	4.29	.34
HS college-prep courses (semesters)	38.85	30.00	50.00	3.73
Male (reference)	.40			
Female	.60			
Non-URM (reference)	.23			
Underrepresented minority (URM)	.77			
< 15 enrolled units (reference)	.61			
\geq 15 enrolled units	.39			
Non first-generation (reference)	.43			
First-generation (parents no college)	.57			
Non-Pell Grant recipient (reference)	.31			
Pell Grant recipient	.69			

Table 1 (continued)

Descriptive Statistics of Study Variables

Variable	Mean	Min	Max	SD
Section-Level Variables (N = 34)				
CFS class proportion	.44	.00	.83	.22
Two class meetings/week (reference)	.62			
Three class meetings/week	.38			
Morning course (before 10 a.m.; ref.)	.21			
Mid-day course (10 a.m. to 2 p.m.)	.50			
Afternoon course (after 2 p.m.)	.29			
Male instructor (reference)	.44			
Female instructor	.56			
Non-URM instructor (reference)	.56			
URM instructor	.44			
Lecturer (reference)	.71			
Graduate-student instructor	.29			

Table 2

RQ 1

Variable	Unconditional	Student-	School-
vanable	Model	Level Model	Level Model
Student-Level Variables			
Pre-summer math remediation stat	us		
1 quarter remediation need	0.763	0.952	0.974
2 quarter remediation need	0.478**	0.549**	0.553**
Academic/demographic backgroun	d covariates		
High school GPA		10.196**	10.340**
HS college-prep courses		1.033	1.033
Female		0.939	0.947
URM		0.722	0.714
\geq 15 enrolled units		1.522*	1.528*
First-generation		0.684*	0.674*
Pell Grant recipient		0.886	0.888
Section-Level Variables			
Course section characteristics			
Three class meetings/week			1.921
Mid-day course (10 a.m. to 2 p.m.)			0.656
Afternoon course (after 2 p.m.)			0.397
Female instructor			0.487
URM instructor			1.853
Graduate-student instructor			1.837
CFS class proportion			0.589
Variance Component			
Estimate	1.500**	1.847**	1.208**

HGLM Multilevel Model Building Results for Odds of Passing Math 110

Note. Parameter estimates in odds ratio (*OR*); *p < .05. **p < .01.

RQ 2

- Did Math 110 pass rates significantly differ for CFS students across sections?
- No, pass rates did not significantly differ for CFS students across sections
 - Variation non-sig. for Made Ready: 1 Qtr slope
 (μ_{1j}: p > .05) and Made Ready: 2 Qtr slope (μ_{2j}: p > .05)
- Interpretation
 - Made Ready: 1 Qtr students passed Math 110 at a similar rate to GE Ready students across all sections
 - Made Ready: 2 Qtr students underperformed GE Ready students similarly across all sections

Key Findings

- Pass rates significantly varied across sections
 - Section-level variables all nonsignificant in explaining differences in pass rates:
 - Class meetings (two versus three)
 - Class time (a.m., mid-day, or p.m.)
 - Instructor gender (male vs. female)
 - Instructor ethnicity (URM vs. non-URM)
 - Instructor type (graduate student vs. lecturer)
 - CFS class proportion (peer effects)

Key Findings

- No significant difference in pass rates between GE Ready and Made Ready: 1 Quarter students
 - After statistically adjusting for differences in pass rates across sections
 - Non-significance was consistent across sections
 - HS GPA and ≥15 units ↑ odds of passing
 - 1^{st} -Gen \downarrow odds of passing
 - A-G courses, gender, URM, and Pell non-significant

Key Findings

- Significant difference in pass rates between GE Ready and Made Ready: 2 quarter students
 - After statistically adjusting for differences in pass rates across sections
 - This achievement gap was consistent across sections

Implications

- Consistency in grading between mathematics instructors is an issue that should be addressed
- Coyote First STEP will focus on moving students up only one course level in the summer
- Redesigning mathematics curriculum
 - (1) Applied math and less algebra
 - (2) Advising non-STEM majors to enroll in non-STEM General Ed math course

Contact Us



Multilevel modeling Allan Taing, Ph.D. <u>ataing@fullerton.edu</u> 657-278-3502



Coyote First STEP assessment Brandon Aragon brandon.aragon@csusb.edu 909-537-3364

Special thanks to:

Muriel Lopez-Wagner, Ph.D., AVP for Institutional Effectiveness, Cal State San Bernardino Qiana Wallace, Assistant Dean of Undergraduate Studies, Cal State San Bernardino Gregory Palardy, Ph.D., Associate Professor of Education, UC Riverside