The difference between knowing the path and walking the path: Predicting student persistence in science from community college to the university

Terrence Willett, Director of Research





## Is Science, Technology, Engineering, Mathematics (STEM) education important?



"Nothing yet. ... How about you, Newton?"











### Lick Observatory



Bovine Genome Project



### UC Davis Experimental Rice Farm

### Persistence in STEM Pathways

- ★ Using the National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) data set, about 75% of 8th graders with STEM career aspirations had switched to a non-STEM goal 6 years later (Mau, 2003).
- ★ STEM persisters tended to have higher self-efficacy in science and math and were more likely to be male (Mau, 2003; Burge, 2006).
- ★ Progression in science shows differences by gender and ethnicity at least as early as middle school with males and Asians and Whites showing higher levels of science achievement than other groups (Muller, Stage, & Kinzie, 2001).
- ★ Some of these differences may continue after high school with women and many ethnic minorities being more likely to switch out of a STEM degree pathway in college (Tan 2002).



### Community College to University Transition

★ Question

- ★ For students completing university degrees, are certain demographic groups more likely to complete a STEM degree given they had started along the STEM pathway in community college?
- ★ Corollary Question
  - ★ Can we detect whether a student is on a university STEM degree pathway based upon community college records?



#### Defining the Sample

\*Community college students who earned at least 12 units and enrolled in and earned a B.A. from one of a set of Universities \*2 CSU's and 1 UC \*n= 20,564 \*Data from 1996-2006 for most but not all institutions

institutions













### Method

Degrees grouped into 3 types:
STEM, Health, Other
Compare degree type with last math successfully completed at community college
Add ethnicity and gender
Add other demographics and courses for exploratory analysis



Rank	Description
1	Basic Math
2	Pre-Algebra
3	Beginning Algebra
4	Geometry
5	Intermediate Algebra
6	Statistics/Finite/Discrete/Other Transferable Math
7	Advanced Algebra/College Algebra/Precalculus/Trigonometry
8	Calculus
9	Linear Algebra/Diff Eq/Other higher math



	Math	Rank	Total		
	<= 6	>= 7	Percent	Ν	
STEM	9%	91%	100%	1065	
Health	77%	23%	100%	599	
Other	75%	25%	100%	13517	
Total	70%	30%	100%	15181	

Chi-square (2) = 2100.607, *p* < 0.0005, Phi = 0.372

# University degree by math path of last community college math class successfully completed by ethnicity

Green = stayed in path indicated by CC math

Math	Degree		African-			Native	<u> </u>	Pacific	White, non-		
Path	l ype	Asian	American	Filipino	Hispanic	American	Other	Islander	Hispanic	Unknown	Total
not	STEM	9%	3%	0%	11%	1%	4%	0%	68%	3%	100%
SIEW	Health	10%	5%	6%	15%	2%	3%	1%	<b>56%</b>	2%	100%
	Other	8%	5%	<b>2%</b>	<b>21%</b>	1%	3%	1%	<b>56%</b>	3%	100%
	Total	8%	5%	2%	21%	1%	3%	1%	56%	3%	100%
STEM	STEM	15%	3%	3%	16%	1%	4%	0%	53%	4%	100%
	Health	17%	4%	1%	18%	1%	3%	1%	54%	1%	100%
	Other	15%	3%	3%	20%	1%	4%	1%	50%	3%	100%
	Total	15%	3%	3%	19%	1%	4%	1%	51%	3%	100%

Blue = switched from path indicated by CC math

No significant difference in path switching by ethnicity for students on STEM math pathway. For "not STEM" math path students, significant differences by ethnicity shown in bold (Likelihood Ratio (16) = 47.918, p < 0.0005).

University degree by math path of last community college math class successfully completed by ethnicity

Green = stayed in path indicated by CC math

Blue = switched from path indicated by CC math

				White,
Math	Degree			non-
Path	Туре	Filipino	Hispanic	Hispanic
not STEM	STEM	0%	11%	68%
	Health	6%	15%	56%
	Other	2%	21%	56%
	Total	2%	21%	56%

# University degree by math path of last community college math class successfully completed by gender

Green = stayed E	Blue = switched
------------------	-----------------

Math	Degree			
Path	Туре	Female	Male	Total
not	STEM	69%	31%	100%
STEM	Health	88%	12%	100%
	Other	61%	39%	100%
	Total	70%	30%	100%
STEM	STEM	58%	42%	100%
	Health	89%	11%	100%
	Other	46%	54%	100%
	Total	56%	44%	100%

All differences significant.

Not STEM Chi-square (2) = 78.528, p < 0.0005 STEM Chi-square (2) = 101.805, p < 0.0005 **Exploratory Analysis** 

- ★ Use classification and regression tree (CART) to predict degree based upon:
  - ★ Highest level math completed at community college
  - ★Number of classes passed and units earned at a CC in
    - ★Biology,
    - ★Chemistry,
    - ★Physics,
    - ★ Mathematics
  - ★ Financial aid, time at CC, time at university, time to degree
  - ★Whether student had same major in first and last university term
  - ★ Ethnicity, gender, age, disability status

### Using CART to Predict Degree

- ★ Highest level math completed at community college (available for n=2,764) correctly predicted 49% of balanced sample compared to 33% with random guess
- ★ Using all variables correctly predicted 76% of balanced sample compared to 33% with random guess
- ★ Using all variables correctly predicted 90% of total sample compared to 88% for guessing "other" for all degrees



#### Model using CART on balanced sample

```
Last Math \leq 7
  Units Bio \leq 7.500
     Units Health \leq 0.750
                 Chem courses \leq 0.500 \rightarrow Other Degree (1,019; 0.755)
                 Chem courses > 0.500
                            Last math \leq 6 \rightarrow Health Degree (154; 0.442)
                            Last math \geq 7 \rightarrow STEM Degree (52; 0.538)
     Units Health > 0.750
                 Time between CC and Univ \leq -1.875 \rightarrow STEM Degree (50; 0.46)
                 Time between CC and Univ > -1.875 \rightarrow Health Degree (126; 0.833)
  Units Bio > 7.500
     Time to degree \leq 1.562 \rightarrow \text{STEM} Degree (37; 0.892)
     Time to degree > 1.562 \rightarrow Health Degree (687; 0.846)
Last Math >= 8
 Health courses \leq 0.500 \rightarrow \text{STEM} Degree (634; 0.852)
```

Health courses >  $0.500 \rightarrow$  Health Degree (60; 0.5)

### CART v. CHAID v. Multinomial Logistic Regression

★ Risk in balanced sample
★ CART = 76%
★ CHAID = 78%
★ MLR = 87%, Cox and Snell R<sup>2</sup> = 0.77
★ Math most important variable for all models



### Summary

- ★ Ethnicity generally not associated with path switching
- ★ Gender strongly associated with path switching
- ★ Last community college math course a good predictor of degree path
- ★ Including other course work strengthens predictions but complicates model and lessens influence of demographics
- ★ Implications for counseling
- ★ These findings may not apply to other institutions and require further validation



### Next Steps





### Thank you!

Terrence Willett Director of Research twillett@calpass.org (831) 277-2690

### www.calpass.org

