

Discovery. Diversity. Distinction.

Analysis of Factors Associated with At Risk Status Among 4th Year Students

CAIR 37th Annual Conference, November 7-9, 2012

Dr. Xuanning Fu, Ph.D., Director • Dmitri Rogulkin, Sr. Research Analyst • Office of Institutional Effectiveness





Introduction



Among students who have persisted for three years, those who are not at risk are 3.1 times more likely to graduate in 6 years than those who are at risk (83.5% vs. 27.0%).





Table 1At-Risk Status and Graduationby Fall 2011

			Cohort ¹				
			2002	2003	2004	2005	
	At risk and graduation status		9 years	8 years	7 years	6 years	Total
	Haven't graduated by Fall 2011	Ν	98	105	89	116	408
		%	8.6%	8.1%	7.3%	9.1%	8.3%
	Graduated by Fall 2011	Ν	1032	1176	1112	1089	4409
Not at risk		%	90.8 %	91.0%	90.7%	85.7%	89.5%
Notatiisk	Enrolled in \$12	Ν	6	12	25	65	108
		%	.5%	.9%	2.0%	5.1%	2.2%
	Total	Ν	1136	1293	1226	1270	4925
	lotai	%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Haven't graduated by Fall 2011	Ν	142	171	149	136	598
		%	46.9%	50.1%	49.8%	44.9%	48.0%
	Graduated by Fall 2011 Enrolled in S12	Ν	147	158	120	105	530
At risk		%	48.5%	46.3%	40.1%	34.7%	42.5%
ACTION		Ν	14	12	30	62	118
		%	4.6%	3.5%	10.0%	20.5%	9.5%
	Total	Ν	303	341	299	303	1246
	10001	%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Haven't graduated by Fall 2011	Ν	240	276	238	252	1006
		%	16.7%	16.9%	15.6%	16.0%	16.3%
Total	Graduated by Fall 2011	Ν	1179	1334	1232	1194	4939
		%	81.9%	81.6%	80.8%	75.9%	80.0%
	Enrolled in S12	Ν	20	24	55	127	226
		%	1.4%	1.5%	3.6%	8.1%	3.7%
	Total	N	1439	1634	1525	1573	6171
	10001	%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

¹The 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 cohorts are included in the analysis, and these students have had respectively 9, 8, 7, and 6 years in school since first enrolled.

Introduction



Definitions of Being At Risk

- Cum GPA < 2.1
- Cum GPA =>2.1 and Cum Units < 62
- Cum GPA 2.1 2.7; Cum Units >62, and Declining GPA Trend
- Cum GPA >2.7, Cum Units >62, Declining GPA, and Pre-Major



Table 2 Chances at Risk by Selected Student Background Characteristics

	AY 2002	1,439	21.1%
Cabart	AY 2003	1,634	20.9%
Conort	AY 2004	1,525	19.6%
	AY 2005	1,573	19.3%
	Total	6,171	20.2%
	African American	372	29.6%
	American Indian	30	13.3%
	Asian	976	24.9%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1,848	26.2%
	Pacific Islander	19	5.3% ***
	Non-Resident Alien	115	17.4%
	Other/ Unknown	526	16.7%
	White	2,285	13.0%
	Total	6,171	20.2%
Under represented Minerity (UDM) ¹	Non-URM	3,902	16.6%
Under-represented Willonty (UKW)	URM	2,269	26.4%
	Total	6,171	20.2%

* P<0.05; ** P <0.01; *** P < 0.001 (ANOVA)

¹URM Includes Hispanic, African American, American Indian, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander

"Minority" in later analysis, except in URM where American Indians are combined with Hispanics and African Americans.

Background

FRESN

Discovery. Diversity. Distinction.



Contd - Table 2 Chances at Risk by Selected Student Background Characteristics

Oandar	Female	3,768	18.5%
Gender	Male	2,403	22.8%
	Total	6,171	20.2%
	Not First Generation Students	2,096	14.1%
First Generation Student ²	First Generation Students	4,075	23.3%
	Total	6,171	20.2%
	Not Eligible	3,424	16.0%
PELL Grant Eligible"	Eligible	2,747	25.4%
	Total	6,171	20.2%
F O I A A	Outside Fresno Service Area	2,219	16.6%
Fresno Service Area ⁺	Within Fresno Service Area	3,952	22.2%
	Total	6,171	20.2%

* P<0.05; ** P <0.01; *** P < 0.001 (ANOVA)

²First Generation Students with neither of their parents holding a Bachelor's degree

³Pell Grant: Pell Grant eligible students based on financial aid application

⁴Fresno Service Area includes Fresno, Madera, Tulare, and Kings counties.

Background



Discussion of Table 2

- The comparisons in Table 2 did not control for other factors.
- All minority students are more likely to be at risk, not just URM. This calls for our attention to Asian students, who have similar at risk probabilities as Hispanic students.
- African American students are most likely to be at risk among students of all ethnic backgrounds.
- Male students are more likely to be at risk than female students.
- First-generation students Pell eligible students and Fresno service area students are more likely to be at risk.

Background



Background

Table 3Logistic Regression Coefficients (Odds Ratio)Showing Associations between Chances at Risk& Selected Student Characteristics

	Dradiatora		Model 1		Model 2	
	Predictors		Odds ratio	Wald	Odds ratio	
Mana Phalata	Minority	49.345	1.852 * * *			
More likely to	Other	1.575	1.189			
De at risk:	African American			42.857	2.524 * * *	
 1st generation 	Asian			21.285	1.684 * * *	
college-going	Hispanic			39.360	1.824 * * *	
students;	Non-Resident Alien			1.905	1.564	
• male students:	Other- Unknown			0.436	1.099	
and	Male	26.280	1.426***	25.700	1.422 * * *	
• students from	First generation students	16.007	1.411 * * *	15.755	1.413 * * *	
• students from	Pell eligible	1.802	1.117	1.902	1.122	
area	Fresno Service Area	11.886	1.294 * *	15.681	1.359 * * *	
	Model χ^2		188.4		200.0	
	DF		6		9	

*P < 0.05. ** P < 0.01. *** P < 0.001. For race/ethnicity, White is the implicit comparison group.



Table 4Chances at Risk by Pre-collegeAcademic Performance: Remediation

- Students who need remediation are more likely to be at risk, especially those who need remediation in both English and Math.
- Chances of being at risk with one subject remediation are about the same for English or for Math.

Remediation	Ν	% At-Risk	Sig.
Both English and Math	2,231	29.9%	
Only English	981	17.9%	
Only Math	894	18.1%	* * *
Neither	2,065	11.6%	
Total	6,171	20.2%	

P*<0.05. *P*<0.01. ****P*<0.001 (ANOVA)

Pre-College Performance



Table 5Logistic Regression Coefficients (Odds Ratio)Showing Associations between Chances at Risk and
Pre-College Academic Performance

Dradiatora	Model 1 ¹		Model 2 ²		
Predictors	Wald	Odds ratio	Wald	Odds ratio	
High School GPA	220.639	0.304 ^{**}	178.108	0.315***	
Composite SAT			42.748	0.998***	
Remediation					
Both English and Math	82.726	2.209 ^{**}	2.950	1.235	
Only English	8.424	1.379**	0.178	1.053	
Only Math	4.781	1.282*	0.183	0.946	
Model $\chi 2$		463.1		440.1	
DF		4		5	

* P < 0.05. ** P < 0.01. *** P < 0.001.

Pre-College Performance



Table 6Logistic Regression Coefficients (Odds Ratio)Showing Associations between Chances at Risk and
Term GPA

GPA by Term	Wald	Odds Ratio	
1st Semester	0.112	0.970	
2nd Semester	16.120	0.708	***
3rd Semester	57.473	0.518	***
4th Semester	146.345	0.363	***
5th Semester	236.844	0.262	***
6th Semester	477.540	0.153	***
Model $\chi 2$		2730.0	
DF		6	

* P < 0.05. ** P < 0.01. *** P < 0.001.

Since term GPA is used as part of the factors to calculate at-risk status, this analysis attempts to show which term's academic performance has a greater impact on the at-risk status.

College Performance



Engagement

Table 7At-risk Status and Major Declarationand Major Change¹

	Major Change Code by semester ²	% At Risk	# At Risk	Total #
¹ Only major-change				
patterns that have at least	XNNNNN	59.8%	61	102
100 students are	RNNNNN	46.5%	131	282
included.	DNNNNC	25.3%	40	158
	DCNNNNN	18.1%	32	177
² Major change codes:	DNNNNN	17.3%	276	1596
• X no major declared	RNNNNP	16.0%	26	163
 in 1st semester Ddeclared major in 1st semester Cchanged major 	DNNNNCN	15.0%	25	167
	DNNNCNN	13.4%	33	246
	XNNNUNN	13.1%	26	198
 Nno change 	XNUNNNN	12.4%	15	121
R- declared a pre-major	DNCNNNN	11.4%	27	237
at entry	DNNCNNN	10.6%	26	245
 Pchanged the declared pre-major to a regular major, a different pre- major or to undeclared 	RNNNPNN	10.5%	23	219
	XNNUNNN	10.4%	22	211
	RNNNPN	8.8%	18	205
~	RNNPNNN	6.5%	11	170
	Total	17.6%	792	4497



Table 8Correlation between At Risk Status and %of Courses Withdrawn and Summer Units

- There is a moderate association between withdrawing courses and # being at risk.
- Summer courses are very weakly associated with at risk status.

		W as a % of all	
		courses	Total summer units
At Risk	Pearson Correlation	.290	106
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000
	Ν	6171	6171





Table 9Chances at Risk by SelectedStudent Engagement Patterns

		% At Risk	# At Risk	Total #	
	1	66.7%	13	19	
	2	78.4%	40	51	
	3	72.4%	63	87	
# of full-time terms in 1st 3 years	4	61.7%	142	230	***
	5	40.1%	244	609	
	6	14.4%	744	5,175	
	Total	20.2%	1,246	6,171	
	No	16.4%	936	5,694	
Ever stopped out	Yes	65.0%	310	477	***
	Total	20.2%	1,246	6,171	
	No	30.7%	1,217	3,965	
A senior at end of 3rd year	Yes	1.3%	29	2,206	***
	Total	20.2%	1,246	6,171	
	No	15.2%	677	4,455	
Late registration (within 2 months of a new semester)	Yes	33.2%	569	1,716	* * *
	Total	20.2%	1,246	6,171	

* P<0.05; ** P <0.01; *** P < 0.001 (ANOVA/T test)

Engagement



Engagement Patterns That Reduce Chances of Being At Risk

- Full-time in school
- Not stopping out
- Being a college senior at end of 3rd year





Table 10

Logistic Regression Coefficients (Odds Ratio) Showing Associations between Chances at Risk and Selected Student Background, Academic Performance and Engagement Patterns

Dradiatora	M	odel 1 ¹	Model 2 ²	
Predictors	Wald	Odds Ratio	Wald Odds Ratio	
Minority			14.286 1.415 **	
Other			0.046 0.969	
Fresno Service Area			24.897 1.538 ***	
High School GPA			106.804 0.373 ***	
Stopped Out	7.851	1.578 **	8.995 1.652 **	
Summer Terms	46.375	0.527 ***	41.926 0.546 ***	
Total Withdrawal	127.048	<i>1.100</i> ***	107.160 <i>1.094</i> ***	
Terms full time	158.131	<i>0.451</i> ***	144.081 <i>0.460</i> ***	
Total repeats	522.773	<i>1.695</i> ***	377.012 <i>1.588</i> ***	
Late Registration	27.165	<i>1.514</i> ***	12.217 <i>1.333 ***</i>	
Model $\chi 2$		1603.3	1752.3	
DF		6	10	

* P < 0.05. ** P < 0.01. *** P < 0.001.

¹Examines the association between college academic engagement patterns and chances of being at risk

²Adds background characteristics and pre-college academic performance (high school GPA only, to keep all students in the analysis).

Background • Performance • Engagement



Conclusion: Factors Associated with At Risk Status

- Number of times repeating courses
- Number of semesters full time in school
- High school GPA
- Withdrawals from courses.

Student background (being a minority and coming from Fresno service area) is still relevant but no longer strongly associated with being at risk, once college engagement patterns are included in the analysis. However, high School GPA remains a strong predictor of at-risk status.

Background • Performance • Engagement



Implications / Interventions



Background | Performance | Engagement



Questions / Comments?