

Students vs. Respondents How do course-taking patterns influence survey response rates for key campus subpopulations?

UCLA Enrollment Stats Fall 2012

- # 27,941 Undergraduate Students
- # 12,004 Graduate Students
- # 5,621 Incoming Freshman Students
- # 3,172 Incoming Transfer Students

Incoming Undergraduates by Application Type



Proportion of Foreign Freshman Students







Looking at Course-Taking Patterns...

- * Course-taking represents an intersection of students and the institution.
- Institutions must vary course offerings in times of limited resources yet still must fulfill desired outcomes.
- * Enrollment management, too, must vary in times of limited resources to fulfill desired outcomes.
- Given enrollment management goals and academic behavior of students, priorities can be set for how and where to find which students for survey research.
- Institutions must research student experience prior to varying other resources for success.

Goals to Accomplish

* Develop and test theories for non-response to census surveys, because survey research is a resource-efficient method of collecting data.

Intervene when subpopulations requiring study are not providing data on surveys -- consider how and where to find these students.

* Boost the response rate in survey administration through focused recruiting that leverages what we have learned.

Course-Taking and Response Rates Research Project:

- * Utilizes a parallel model approach: One model per reference group
- * Focuses solely on academic behavior data from student enrollment and course-taking
- Strives to account for variance in response rates to the census survey within reference groups



Academic Behavior

- * Performance to date (cumulative GPA)
- * Volume of student credit hours (SCH) attempted in the survey term
- * Average size of sections attempted

* Complexion of SCH, such as volume of GE attempted? Level of SCH? Percentage of SCH in major department?

* Volume of SCH in two-digit CIP Code areas

Two-Digit CIP Code Disciplines

PHYSICAL SCIENCES

ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE

VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS

SOCIAL SCIENCESPSYCHOLOGY##.####PSYCHOLOGYENGINEERINGFOREIGN LANGUAGES

BIOLOGICAL AND BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES

BUSINESS, MANAGEMENT, MARKETING AND RELATED

PHILOSOPHY AND RELIGIOUS STUDIES

Foreign Frosh Response Model



Stanley A. Dashew

UCLA's Dashew Center for International Students and Scholars

Foreign Frosh Response Model **Cumulative GPA** (higher will respond) **Management Course SCH** (more, will not respond)



UCLA's Dashew Center for International Students and Scholars

WHICH FROSH TAKE MGMT SCH? DOMESTIC 5% FOREIGN 15%



DIFFERENTIAL RESPONSE RATES: FOREIGN WITHOUT MGMT SCH 19% FOREIGN <u>WITH MGMT SCH 5%</u>

STUDENTS BEING STUDENTS INSTEAD OF RESPONDENTS FOREIGN FROSH HAD THE LOWEST RESPONSE RATE OF ALL FROSH MANAGEMENT SCH-TAKERS



Afr Amer Frosh Response Model

Ralph J. Bunche

Ralph J. Bunche Center for African American Studies at UCLA



Afr Amer Frosh Response Model No academic behavior predictor variables loaded (variance attributed to other

factors)

Ralph J. Bunche Hall

Considerations

PROJECT EXPLORES SMALL N'S...

LIMITED FOCUS OF DATA IS DELIBERATE...

STATISTICAL MODEL BUILDING SHOULD TOLERATE A SOBRIETY TEST...

What is a model?









Conclusion

Is the model perfect? No Is the model practical? Probably

KELLY WAHL UCLA ANALYSIS AND INFORMATION MANAGEMENT KWAHL@PONET.UCLA.EDU

